

Vehicle Systems Engineering and Integration Activities - Phase 3

Interim Technical Report SERC-2011-TR-015-3

December 31, 2011

Principal Investigator: Dr. Walter Bryzik, DeVlieg Chairman and Professor

Mechanical Engineering - Wayne State University

Team Members

Dr. Gary Witus, Associate Professor, Mechanical Engineering

- Wayne State University

| Report Docume | entation Page | | | OMB No. 0704-0188 | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimate maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the col including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Hea VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstandin does not display a currently valid OMB control number. | lection of information. Send commend quarters Services, Directorate for In | ts regarding this burden est formation Operations and F | imate or any other aspection and the control of the | ect of this collection of information, Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington | |
| 1. REPORT DATE 31 DEC 2011 | 2. REPORT TYPE Final | | 3. DATES COVE | ERED | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Vehicle Systems Engineering and Integration Activities - Phase | | Phase 3 | 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER H98230-08-D-0171 | | |
| | | | 5b. GRANT NUI | MBER | |
| | | | 5c. PROGRAM I | ELEMENT NUMBER | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) Bryzik /Dr. Walter | | | 5d. PROJECT NUMBER RT 26-3 | | |
| | | | 5e. TASK NUMI | | |
| | | | 5f. WORK UNIT | NUMBER | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Stevens Institute of Technology Wayne State University | | | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER SERC-2011-TR-015-3 | | |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) DASD (SE) | | | 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) | | |
| | | 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) | | | |
| 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribu | ıtion unlimited. | | | | |
| 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | | | | |
| TARDEC's mission is to conduct full Management Command and the Prosystem acquisition and life cycle mar looking for systems engineering methas found that many systems engineering systems engineering (SE), but lack engineering in the DoD workforce. To system engineers in both industry an address the shortfalls in educating Stephase-3 of the project on Vehicle Systems. | gram Executive Offinagement. The TARI chods, tools and proceers from the automore experience in some of this research will ide and the DoD workforce Es in the DoD workforce | ices associated DEC Systems Edures (MPT) tbile industry has the competence tify the difference, and develop force. This repo | with it, for a cargineering (o support the ave signification is deemed conces between methods, prort summarizes. | Il DoD ground vehicle Group is constantly is mission. TARDEC nt experience in critical to systems en education needs of ocesses and tools to | |
| 15. SUBJECT TERMS | | | | | |
| 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: | | 17. LIMITATION | 18. NUMBER | 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE | |

OF ABSTRACT

UU

c. THIS PAGE

unclassified

a. REPORT

unclassified

b. ABSTRACT

unclassified

OF PAGES

9

PERSON

UNCLASSIFIED

Copyright © 2011 Stevens Institute of Technology, Systems Engineering Research Center

This material is based upon work supported, in whole or in part, by the U.S. Department of Defense through the Systems Engineering Research Center (SERC) under Contract H98230-08-D-0171. SERC is a federally funded University Affiliated Research Center managed by Stevens Institute of Technology

Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Department of Defense.

NO WARRANTY

THIS STEVENS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SYSTEMS ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER MATERIAL IS FURNISHED ON AN "AS-IS" BASIS. STEVENS MAKES NO WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, AS TO ANY MATTER INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PURPOSE OR MERCHANTABILITY, EXCLUSIVITY, OR RESULTS OBTAINED FROM USE OF THE MATERIAL. STEVENS DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WITH RESPECT TO FREEDOM FROM PATENT, TRADEMARK, OR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.

This material has been approved for public release and unlimited distribution except as restricted below.

Internal use:* Permission to reproduce this material and to prepare derivative works from this material for internal use is granted, provided the copyright and "No Warranty" statements are included with all reproductions and derivative works.

External use:* This material may be reproduced in its entirety, without modification, and freely distributed in written or electronic form without requesting formal permission. Permission is required for any other external and/or commercial use. Requests for permission should be directed to the Systems Engineering Research Center at dschultz@stevens.edu

* These restrictions do not apply to U.S. government entities.

RESEARCH TOPIC DESCRIPTION

TARDEC's mission is to conduct full service life cycle engineering support to the TACOM Life Cycle Management Command and the Program Executive Offices associated with it, for all DoD ground vehicle system acquisition and life cycle management. The TARDEC Systems Engineering Group is constantly looking for systems engineering methods, tools and procedures (MPT) to support this mission. TARDEC has found that many systems engineers from the automobile industry have significant experience in systems engineering (SE), but lack experience in some of the competencies deemed critical to systems engineering in the DoD workforce. This research will identify the differences between education needs of system engineers in both industry and the DoD workforce, and develop methods, processes and tools to address the shortfalls in educating SEs in the DoD workforce.

UNCLASSIFIED

This page intentionally left blank

Contract Number: H98230-08-D-0171 DO 002, TO 002, RT 26

> Report No. SERC 2011-TR-015-3 12/31/11

> > UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Figures 1 Intro 2 Proje | duction | 6 |
|-------------------------|---|---------|
| Figures 1 Intro 2 Proje | 5 | 6 |
| 1 Intro 2 Proje | | |
| 2 Proje | | / |
| | ect Status | |
| 2.1 Ta | ask 1: Identify TARDEC SE Needs | |
| | ask 2: Identify SE Education Gaps | |
| | ask 3: Case Studies | |
| | Requirements Definition for Versatile Ground Vehicles | |
| | 2 Case Study No. 2: Application of SE to S&T Projects | |
| 2.4 T | ask 4: Dissemination Packaging | 8 |
| | ect Plans | |
| | ask 1: Identify TARDEC SE Needs | |
| | ask 2: Identify SE Education Gaps | |
| | ask 3: Case Studies | |
| | ask 4: Dissemination | |
| 3.4 1 | usk 4. Dissemination | ••••••• |

FIGURES

1 Introduction

This report documents fifth quarter progress and sixth quarter plans for project RT26. Fifth quarter activities focused on the second case study of Task 3, the application of SE processes to Science and Technology (S&T) projects. The details of these developments are described in Section 2, Project Status. Plans for the next quarter are described in Section 3, Project Plans.

2 PROJECT STATUS

2.1 TASK 1: IDENTIFY TARDEC SE NEEDS

No activity. This task was completed in the first quarter.

2.2 TASK 2: IDENTIFY SE EDUCATION GAPS

No activity. This task was completed in the first quarter.

2.3 TASK 3: CASE STUDIES

In coordination with TARDEC, we are conducting two case studies. The first case study on requirements definition for versatile ground vehicles was previously completed and delivered. The second case study applying SE to Science and Technology (S&T) projects is in progress. The objective products of the second case study are snapshots of the RDECOM "Project Plan" at several points during the execution of a project. The Project Plan is RDECOM requirement (OPORD 10-065) that replaces the requirement for a Systems Engineering Management Plan. The Project Plan combines the Project Management Plan and the Systems Engineering Management Plan. In the current quarter, we completed drafts of the Project Plan as of the Stakeholder Needs Review, the System Requirements Review, and the Critical Design Review (we previously completed a draft Project Plan as of the Preliminary Design Review). We reviewed and discussed these drafts with TARDEC in a series of meeting. The draft Project Plan snapshots completed this quarter are attached as appendices.

Contract Number: H98230-08-D-0171 DO 002, TO 002, RT 26

Report No. SERC 2011-TR-015-3 12/31/11

2.3.1 REQUIREMENTS DEFINITION FOR VERSATILE GROUND VEHICLES

A presentation and draft report on the first case study were completed and previously delivered. The case study was presented and discussed at the SERC Annual Review.

2.3.2 Case Study No. 2: Application of SE to S&T Projects

In coordination with TARDEC, we are conducting two case studies. The first case study on requirements definition for versatile ground vehicles was previously completed and delivered. The second case study applying SE to Science and Technology (S&T) projects is in progress. The objective products of the second case study are snapshots of the RDECOM "Project Plan" at several points during the execution of a project. The Project Plan is RDECOM requirement (OPORD 10-065) that replaces the requirement for a Systems Engineering Management Plan. The Project Plan combines the Project Management Plan and the Systems Engineering Management Plan. In the current quarter, we completed drafts of the Project Plan as of the Stakeholder Needs Review, the System Requirements Review, and the Critical Design Review (we previously completed a draft Project Plan as of the Preliminary Design Review). We reviewed and discussed these drafts with TARDEC in a series of meeting. The draft Project Plan snapshots completed this quarter are attached as appendices.

2.4 TASK 4: DISSEMINATION PACKAGING

A presentation and draft report on the first case study were previously delivered. The draft report from the second case study is attached in Appendix A.

3 PROJECT PLANS

This section describes project plans for the second quarter.

3.1 TASK 1: IDENTIFY TARDEC SE NEEDS

This task has been completed. No activity is planned.

3.2 TASK 2: IDENTIFY SE EDUCATION GAPS

This task has been completed. No activity is planned.

3.3 TASK 3: CASE STUDIES

At the end of the fifth quarter TARDEC provided significant guidance regarding the content and level of detail desired in the Project Plan snapshots. In the following quarter we will begin to address this level of detail.

At the end of the fifth quarter, TARDEC decided that the wanted to change the type of project addressed in the Project Plan case study. At the initial topic selection, TARDEC said that the Project Plan should apply to SBIR projects, and that an SBIR project would be suitable for the case study. New participants from TARDEC observed that, despite prior statements, Project Plans were only going to be prepared for ATO(D) projects, and that the case study should address an ATO(D) project in order to be relevant. The RDECOM OPORD requires that Project Plans be prepared for ATO(D) projects beginning in FY11. While it recommends Project Plans for other types of projects and makes a statement about future extension of the requirement, at the present time, Project Plans are only required for ATO(D) projects. ATO(D) projects are substantially different from SBIR projects. TARDEC requested that we change the focus. This will require significant re-work of the draft Project Plan snapshots previously submitted. This work will begin in the sixth quarter.

3.4 TASK 4: DISSEMINATION

At the end of the fifth quarter, we plan to deliver a illustrations of the S&T Project Plan and associated SE artifacts as it would be at additional technical review points, for the second case study.

Contract Number: H98230-08-D-0171 DO 002, TO 002, RT 26

Report No. SERC 2011-TR-015-3 12/31/11